arXiv:1211.4343v1 [math.PR] 19 Nov 2012

On a class of self-similar processes with stationary increments in higher order Wiener chaoses

Benjamin Arras

November 20, 2012

Abstract

We study a class of self similar processes with stationary increments belonging to higher order Wiener chaoses which are similar to Hermite processes. We obtain an almost sure wavelet-like expansion of these processes converging uniformly on every compact. This allows us to compute the pointwise and local Hölder regularity of sample paths and to analyse their behaviour at infinity. We also provide some results on the Hausdorff dimension of the range and graphs of multidimensional anisotropic self similar processes with stationary increments defined by multiple Wiener integrals.

AMS classification: 60 G 18, 60 G 17, 60 G 22, 42 C 40, 28 A 78, 28 A 80.

Key words: Self-similar processes, multiple Wiener-Itô integral, wavelet expansion, Hölder regularity, Hausdorff dimension.

1 Introduction and background

Self similar processes with stationary increments (SSSI processes), *i.e.* processes X which satisfy:

$$\forall c > 0, \quad X_{ct} \underset{(d)}{=} c^H X_t,$$

$$\forall (s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad X_t - X_s \underset{(d)}{=} X_{t-s}$$

for some positive H, have been studied for a long time due to their importance both in theory and in practice. Such processes appear as limits in various renormalisation procedures [15, 24, 28]. In addition, they are the only possible tangent processes [12]. In applications, they occur in various fields such as hydrology, biomedicine and image processing. The simplest SSSI processes are simply Brownian motion and, more generally, Lévy stable motions. Apart from these cases, the best known such process is probably fractional Brownian motion (fBm), which was introduced in [14] and popularized by [16]. A construction of SSSI processes that generalizes fBm to higher order Wiener chaoses was proposed in [19]. These processes read

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad X_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_t(x_1, ..., x_d) dB_{x_1} ... dB_{x_d}$$

where h_t verifies:

1. $h_t \in \hat{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
2. $\forall c > 0, \quad h_{ct}(cx_1, ..., cx_d) = c^{H-\frac{d}{2}} h_t(x_1, ..., x_d)$,
3. $\forall \rho \geq 0, \quad h_{t+\rho}(x_1, ..., x_d) - h_t(x_1, ..., x_d) = h_\rho(x_1 - t, ..., x_d - t)$.

Properties 2. and 3. ensure self similarity and stationarity of the increments of the process. Among the kernels satisfying the above properties, [19] considered:

- $\int_0^t ||\mathbf{s}^* - \mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}-1} ds$,
- $\int_0^t \prod_{j=1}^d (s - x_j)_+^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1-H}{d})} ds$.

The second kernel defines a class of processes called *Hermite processes*, which have been and still are the subject of considerable interest [8, 28, 1, 22, 29]. For $d = 1$, one recovers fBm (the only SSSI Gaussian process). The process obtained with $d = 2$ is the called the *Rosenblatt* process.

When $d > 1$, the processes in the general class defined in [19] are somewhat difficult to analyse, because they are no longer Gaussian. In recent years, the family of Hermite processes, and specially the Rosenblatt one, has been studied in depth from the point of views of estimation [5], stochastic calculus [29], distributional properties [30], wavelet-like decomposition for $d = 2$ [22], and more. Wavelet-like decompositions, in particular, are useful for investigating the local properties and providing synthesis algorithms.

General results on sample paths properties of ergodic self similar processes, such as local or pointwise regularity or Hausdorff dimensions were obtained in [26]. One such result is that, if the process has stationary increments, then for all t, the pointwise Hölder exponent is equal to H almost surely. In the case of fBm, a lot more is known, both in the one and multidimensional cases [21, 9, 27]. However, in the non Gaussian case $d > 1$, there is still room for improvements. For instance, precise uniform results are not available. Of course, by stationarity and self-similarity, one has:

$$\forall p > 1, \quad \mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s|^p] = |t - s|^{pH}\mathbb{E}[(X_1)^p].$$

By Kolmogorov's lemma, X has a modification whose sample paths are Hölder-continuous of all exponents smaller than H (we will always work with such a version in the following), but obtaining the exact uniform pointwise Hölder exponent is more difficult.

The aim of this article is to study a class of SSSI processes obtained by considering a particular kernel h satisfying conditions 1., 2., 3. above. The interest of this class is that it allows one to obtain a wavelet-type expansion for all values of d (and not only for $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ as is the case for Hermite processes). This expansion permits in turn to deduce sharp local regularity results on the sample paths. Our class of processes is defined by the following multiple Wiener-Itô integral representation:

$$X_t^\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[||\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} \right] dB_{x_1} ... dB_{x_d} \tag{1}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$, $\mathbf{t}^* = (t, ..., t)$ and $\alpha = H - 1 + \frac{d}{2}$. When $d = 1$, this is just fBm.

We note that, although the class of processes above does not occur as limit processes as is the case of Hermite processes, the structure of their kernel is justified by the following formal analogy: when passing from one to several dimensions, fBm may be generalized in two ways, leading on the one hand to fractional Brownian sheet:

$$S_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{j=1}^d \left[(t_j - x_j)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-x_j)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right] d\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x},$$

and on the other hand to Lévy fractional Brownian field:

$$L_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[||\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} \right] d\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}.$$

Hermite processes are the formal analogues of fractional Brownian sheet, while our class would correspond to Lévy fractional Brownian field.

The remaining of this work is organized as follows. In the next section, we study basic properties of our kernel and define precisely our process. In Section 3, we prove an almost sure wavelet-like expansion converging uniformly on every compact. Section 4 studies the pointwise and local Hölder regularity of sample paths and the behaviour at infinity of the process. Finally, we provide in Section 5 general results on the Hausdorff dimension of the range and graphs of multidimensional anisotropic SSSI processes defined by multiple Wiener integrals.

2 Definitions of the kernel and process

Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\alpha := H + \frac{d}{2} - 1$. Consider the Riesz kernel:

$$k_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_d(\alpha)} \begin{cases} ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{\alpha-d} & \alpha - d \neq 0, 2, 4, 6 \\ ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{\alpha-d} \ln \frac{1}{||\mathbf{x}||_2} & \alpha - d = 0, 2, 4, 6 \end{cases}$$

with:

$$\gamma_d(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 2^\alpha \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \dfrac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-\alpha}{2})} & \alpha \neq d + 2k, \quad \alpha \neq -2k \\ 1 & \alpha = -2k \\ (-1)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} 2^{\alpha-1} (\dfrac{\alpha - d}{2})! \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}) & \alpha = d + 2k \end{cases}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$. This kernel occurs in the definition of the Riesz potential which will be used below. Consider the following kernel:

$$h_t^\alpha(x_1, ..., x_d) = k_{\alpha+1}(\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}) - k_{\alpha+1}(\mathbf{x})$$

where $\mathbf{t}^* = (t, ..., t)$. Let us prove that h_t^α verifies Properties 1., 2., 3. Let $t \in (0; 1]$. In order to show that h_t^α is square-integrable, we need to study its behaviour close to 0 and at infinity. Around 0, we have, for some $C_d > 0$ depending only on d:

$$\int_{B(0,\epsilon)} ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{2H-d} d\mathbf{x} \leq C_d \int_0^\epsilon r^{2H-d} r^{d-1} dr < \infty$$

since $2H - 1 \in (0, 1)$. To analyse the behaviour at infinity, let us first write:

$$||\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} = ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} \left[||\frac{\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}}{||\mathbf{x}||_2}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - 1 \right].$$

Since

$$|1 - \frac{||\mathbf{t}^*||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2}| \leq \frac{||\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2} \leq \frac{||\mathbf{t}^*||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2} + 1$$

and $\mathbf{t}^* \in [0, 1]^d$, one has, for $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ large enough:

$$0 < 1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{t}^*\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \leq \frac{||\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2} \leq 1 + \frac{||\mathbf{t}^*||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2}.$$

For $d \geq 2$, $H - \frac{d}{2} < 0$ and

$$\left[||\frac{\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}}{||\mathbf{x}||_2}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - 1 \right] \leq \left[(1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{t}^*\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2})^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - 1 \right] \underset{||x||_2 \to +\infty}{\sim} (\frac{d}{2} - H) \frac{\|\mathbf{t}^*\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}$$

Thus, at infinity:

$$||\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} - ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}} \underset{\|x\|_2 \to +\infty}{\sim} (\frac{d}{2} - H) \|\mathbf{t}^*\|_2 ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{H-\frac{d}{2}-1}.$$

Now,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\epsilon)} ||\mathbf{x}||_2^{2H-d-2} d\mathbf{x} \leq C'_d \int_\epsilon^\infty r^{2H-d-2+d-1} dr < \infty$$

since $2H - 3 \in (-2, -1)$. The kernel h_t^α is clearly symmetric and simple calculations show that Properties 2. and 3. are also verified. Thus the process:

$$\forall t \in [0, 1] \quad X_t^\alpha = I_d(h_t^\alpha),$$

where I_d denotes the Wiener integral iterated d times, is an SSSI process by [19].

Self similarity and increments stationarity entail that

$$\forall (s,t) \in [0,1]^2, \quad \mathbb{E}[X_t^\alpha X_s^\alpha] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[(X_1^\alpha)^2]\left[|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right],$$

i.e. X_t^α has same covariance structure as fBm. In particular, it displays long range dependence. Note that, as mentioned above, Kolmogorov's lemma implies that the process has a modification whose sample paths are almost surely continuous. We will always work with this modification in the sequel. Using a classical patching argument, X^α can then be defined on the whole of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

3 Wavelet decomposition

Wavelet decompositions of stochastic processes are a powerful tool for the study of sample paths properties such as regularity. They have been used in particular in [4] and [2] respectively for the cases of fractional Brownian sheet and linear fractional stable sheet. Following the method in these articles, we shall obtain an almost sure wavelet-type expansion of $\{X_t^\alpha\}$.

In that view, we use a specific wavelet basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (the reader is referred to [17] for an introduction to wavelet theory). For $d = 1$, we consider the Lemarié-Meyer wavelet basis, which verifies the following property:

- the scaling function ϕ and the mother wavelet ψ are in the Schwartz space, $S(\mathbb{R})$.
- $supp(\hat{\phi}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : |\xi| \leq \frac{4\pi}{3}\}$ and $supp(\hat{\psi}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{2\pi}{3} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8\pi}{3}\}$.
- $\{2^{\frac{j}{2}}\psi(2^j x - k) : (j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\beta \psi(x)dx = 0$.

The last property implies that the mother wavelet is in the Lizorkin Space, $S_0(\mathbb{R})$. We use the canonical construction of a multidimensional multiresolution analysis from a monodimensional one using tensor products. Let E denote the set $\{0,1\}^d \setminus (0,...,0)$. For all $\epsilon \in E$, we note $\psi^{(\epsilon)}$ the function defined by:

$$\psi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{x}) = \psi^{(\epsilon_1)}(x_1)...\psi^{(\epsilon_d)}(x_d)$$

where $\psi^{(0)} = \phi$ and $\psi^{(1)} = \psi$. Then $\{2^{\frac{jd}{2}}\psi^{(\epsilon)}(2^j\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{k}) : (j, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times E\}$ is an orthonormal wavelet basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By construction, for all $\epsilon \in E$, $\psi^{(\epsilon)}$ is in the following Lizorkin type space:

$$S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) : \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{x}^\beta \psi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 0\}.$$

This space is invariant by the Riesz potential which is defined by [23]:

$$I^\alpha \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k_\alpha(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\psi(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}.$$

For ψ in $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the following inversion formula holds:

$$I^\alpha \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<\mathbf{x},\xi>}\frac{\hat{\psi}(\xi)}{||\xi||_2^\alpha}d\xi.$$

We are now ready to give our wavelet-type expansion for the process X_t^α.

Proposition 1 *Almost surely,*

$$\forall t \in [0,1] \quad X_t^\alpha = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon \in E} 2^{-jH} \left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j \boldsymbol{t}^* - \boldsymbol{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(-\boldsymbol{k}) \right] I_d(\psi_{j,\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\epsilon)}).$$

In order to show this proposition, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2 *Let $\{f_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There exists an event Ω^* of probability 1 and a strictly positive random variable, $\tilde{C}_d(\omega)$, which has all its moments finite such that:*

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega^* \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad |I_d(f_n)| \leq \tilde{C}_d(\omega)(\log(e+n))^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Proof: The proof follows the lines of the one of Lemma 1 in [3]. Let $a_n = (\log(e+n))^{\frac{d}{2}}$. Theorem 6.7 of [13] entails that:

$$\exists c_d > 0 : \quad \forall b > 2, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(|I_d(f_n)| \geq b a_n \sqrt{d!} ||\hat{f}_n||_2 \right) \leq e^{-c_d (b a_n)^{\frac{2}{d}}} = \frac{1}{(e+n)^{c_d b^{\frac{2}{d}}}}.$$

For $c_d b^{\frac{2}{d}} > 1$, $\sum \frac{1}{(e+n)^{c_d b^{\frac{2}{d}}}} < \infty$, and the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that:

$$\exists \Omega^*, \ \mathbb{P}(\Omega^*) = 1 : \ \forall \omega \in \Omega^*, \ \exists n(\omega) \in \mathbb{N} : \ \forall n \geq n(\omega),$$

$$|I_d(f_n)(\omega)| \leq b(\log(e+n))^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{d!} ||\hat{f}_n||_2 \leq b(\log(e+n))^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{d!}.$$

Set:

$$\tau(\omega) = \begin{cases} \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq n \quad |I_d(f_k)| \leq b(\log(e+k))^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{d!}\} & \omega \in \Omega^* \\ +\infty & \omega \notin \Omega^*, \end{cases}$$

and define:

$$C(\omega) = \begin{cases} \sup_{0 \leq k \leq \tau(\omega)} (|I_d(f_k)|) & \omega \in \Omega^* \\ +\infty & \omega \notin \Omega^*. \end{cases}$$

We compute the moments of any order $p > 0$ of this random variable. Following the proof of Lemma 1 of [3], one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|C|^p) &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} (|I_d(f_k)|^p) \chi_{\{\tau(\omega)=n\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(|I_d(f_0)|^p) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}(|I_d(f_k)|^p \chi_{\{|I_d(f_{n-1})| > b(\log(e+n-1))^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{d!}\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(|I_d(f_0)|^p) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}(|I_d(f_k)|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}(|I_d(f_{n-1})| > b(\log(e+n-1))^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{d!})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorems 5.10 and 6.7 of [13], one deduces

$$\mathbb{E}(|C|^p) \leq \mathbb{E}(|I_d(f_0)|^p) + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{n+1}{(e+n-1)^{\frac{c_d b^{\frac{2}{d}}}{2}}},$$

i.e., for $\frac{c_d b^{\frac{2}{d}}}{2} > 2$,

$$\forall p > 0 \quad \mathbb{E}(|C|^p) < +\infty.$$

From this, one deduces that there exists a strictly positive random variable $\tilde{C}_d(\omega)$, whose every moments are finite and such that:

$$a.s. \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad |I_d(f_n)| \leq \tilde{C}_d(\omega)(\log(e+n))^{\frac{d}{2}} \quad \Box$$

Remark: The main argument used here is of hypercontractivity type. Indeed, the hypercontractivity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup allows to show that all the norms are equivalent on any Wiener chaos spaces. Since, for all n, $I_d(f_n)$ is in the Wiener chaos of order d, we can apply that result to this quantity. For further details, we refer the reader to the chapter 5 of [13] or chapter 1 of [20].

An indexing argument shows that the following inequality holds:

$$a.s. \quad \forall (j,\mathbf{k},\epsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}^d\times E \quad |I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}})| \leq \tilde{C}_{1,d}(\omega)(\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq \tilde{C}_{1,d}(\omega)(\log(e+|j|))^{\frac{d}{2}}(\log(e+||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}},$$

where we have used the two trivial facts:

- the function $x \to x^{\frac{d}{2}}$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$
- $\forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \log(e+x+y) \leq \log(e+x)\log(e+y)$

Let us now move to the proof of Proposition 1. It is similar to that of the wavelet-type expansion of fBm in [18].

Proof: Let t be in $[0,1]$. Since h^α_t is in $\hat{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can expand it into the wavelet basis $\{\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}\}$. Using Itô isometry we have, in (L^2):

$$X^\alpha_t = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} < h^\alpha_t, \psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}} > I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}).$$

Let us show that the right hand side converges uniformly in t on Ω^*, *i.e.* that:

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega^* \quad \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} || < h^\alpha_t, \psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}} > ||_\infty |I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}})| < +\infty$$

For this purpose, write:

$$< h^\alpha_t, \psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}} >= \frac{1}{\gamma_d(\alpha+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [k_{\alpha+1}(\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{x}) - k_{\alpha+1}(-\mathbf{x})]\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}.$$

The change of variables $2^j\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{u}$ yields:

$$< h^\alpha_t, \psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}} >= 2^{-jH} \frac{1}{\gamma_d(\alpha+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [k_{\alpha+1}(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{u}) - k_{\alpha+1}(-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{u})]\psi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{u})d\mathbf{u}.$$

Split the integral into two terms to get:

$$< h^\alpha_t, \psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}} >= 2^{-jH} \left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(-\mathbf{k}) \right].$$

We need to distinguish between $j \leq -1$ and $j \geq 0$. Since $I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)}) \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the function f defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by:

$$f(u) = I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{k})$$

is $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, by the mean value theorem:

$$\exists v \in [0, 2^j t] : \quad I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(-\mathbf{k}) = 2^j t \frac{df}{du}(v).$$

By the chain rule,

$$\frac{df}{du}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)}))(\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{k}).$$

Using the rapid decrease of $I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})$, one gets:

$$\forall p > 0 \quad |\frac{df}{du}(v)| \leq \frac{C_{p,d}}{(1 + ||\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}$$

For $j \leq -1$, $v \in [0, 2^j t] \subset [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Thus, for $||\mathbf{k}||_2$ large enough, the following estimate holds:

$$\forall t \in [0,1] \quad | < h_t^\alpha, \psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)} > | \leq 2^{j(1-H)} \frac{C_{p,d}}{(1 + ||\frac{1}{2}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega * \quad \forall (j, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times E \quad |I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)})(\omega)| \leq \tilde{C}(\omega)(\log(e + |j|))^{\frac{d}{2}}(\log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Thus,

$$| < h_t^\alpha, \psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)} > ||I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)})(\omega)| \leq C'_{p,d}(\omega) \frac{2^{j(1-H)}}{(1 + ||\frac{1}{2}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p} (\log(e + |j|))^{\frac{d}{2}} (\log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Since $H < 1$, almost sure uniform convergence for the "low frequency" part $j < 0$ for p sufficiently large does hold. The high frequency part $j \geq 0$ requires a bit more work. The inversion formula for the Riesz potential yields:

$$I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}, \xi>} \frac{\hat{\psi}(\xi)}{||\xi||_2^{\alpha+1}} d\xi.$$

Making p integrations by parts in the direction i where $||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2 \leq C_d |2^j - k_i|$, one gets:

$$|I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k})| \leq \frac{C'_{p,d}}{(1 + ||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}.$$

Thus, for $j \geq 0$:

$$| < h_t^\alpha, \psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)} > | \leq C''_{p,d} 2^{-jH} \left[\frac{1}{(1 + ||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p} + \frac{1}{(1 + ||\mathbf{k}||_2)^p} \right].$$

In order to conclude, we need to estimate the following quantity:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{(\log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1 + ||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}.$$

Denote $\lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^* = (\lfloor 2^j t \rfloor, ..., \lfloor 2^j t \rfloor) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. A change of variable in the previous sum yields:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{(\log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1 + ||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{(\log(e + ||\mathbf{k} + \lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^*||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1 + ||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}.$$

By the triangular inequality,

$$\log(e + ||\mathbf{k} + \lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^*||_1) \leq \log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1 + |||\lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^*||_1) \leq C' \log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1) \log(e + |||\lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^*||_1).$$

Consequently, one obtains:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{(\log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1 + ||2^j \mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p} \leq C' (\log(e + |||\lfloor 2^j \mathbf{t} \rfloor^*||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in [0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{(\log(e + ||\mathbf{k}||_1))^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1 + ||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p} \right).$$

Thus, for $j \geq 0$:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} | < h_t^\alpha, \psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)} > ||I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)})(\omega)| \leq C'''_{p,d}(\omega) 2^{-jH} (\log(e + d 2^j t))^{\frac{d}{2}} (\log(e + |j|))^{\frac{d}{2}},$$

which concludes the proof .□

4 Uniform and Local Regularity of the sample paths

From Proposition 1, one sees that the process X_t^α may be written:

$$X_t^\alpha = X_t^{l,\alpha} + X_t^{h,\alpha},$$

where $X_t^{l,\alpha}$ is the low frequency part and $X_t^{h,\alpha}$ the high frequency part, namely:

$$X_t^{l,\alpha} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_-}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH}\left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(-\mathbf{k})\right] I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)}),$$

$$X_t^{h,\alpha} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH}\left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(-\mathbf{k})\right] I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)}).$$

In order to study the regularity of the stochastic process, we will show that the low frequency part is $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and then focus on the regularity of the high frequency part.

Proposition 3 *Almost surely, the process* $\{X_t^{l,\alpha}\}$ *is* $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof: Let $g_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ be defined by:

$$\forall t\in[0,1]\quad g_{j,\mathbf{k}}(t) = I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}).$$

We need to show that the following random series converges uniformly on $[0,1]$ almost surely:

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_-}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH}\frac{d^m g_{j,\mathbf{k}}}{dt^m}(t) I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)}),\quad m\in\mathbb{N}^*.$$

The chain rule formula entails that:

$$\frac{d^m g_{j,\mathbf{k}}}{dt^m}(t) = 2^{jm}\sum_{(i_1,\ldots,i_m)\in\{1,\ldots,d\}^m}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_{i_1}\ldots\partial x_{i_m}}(I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)}))(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}).$$

Since $I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})\in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one obtains the following estimate:

$$\forall p>0\quad |\frac{d^m g_{j,\mathbf{k}}}{dt^m}(t)| \le 2^{jm}\frac{C_{p,d,m}}{(1+||2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}.$$

Moreover, since $t\in[0,1]$ and $j\le -1$, $2^j\mathbf{t}^*\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]^d$. Thus, for $||\mathbf{k}||_2$ sufficiently large, one has:

$$|\frac{d^m g_{j,\mathbf{k}}}{dt^m}(t)| \le 2^{jm}\frac{C_{p,d,m}}{(1+||\frac{1}{2}^* - \mathbf{k}||_2)^p}.$$

Finally, since $H<1\le m$ and using Lemma 2, one obtains the almost sure uniform convergence of the random series. □

The next two results are analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 of [4]. The first one gives a modulus of continuity for the process X_t^α while the second one deals with the asymptotic behaviour of the process as $t\to+\infty$. Their proofs are also similar.

Proposition 4 *There exists a strictly positive random variable* A_d *of finite moments of any order and a constant,* $b_d>1$, *such that:*

$$\forall\omega\in\Omega^*\quad \sup_{(s,t)\in[0,1]}\frac{|X_t^\alpha(\omega) - X_s^\alpha(\omega)|}{|t-s|^H(\log(b_d+|t-s|^{-1}))^{\frac{d}{2}}} \le A_d(\omega).$$

This result should be compared with Remark 2.3 p.166 and the sentence that follows its proof on p.167 of [26], which provide a related (but weaker) result, namely that the local Hölder exponent of X^α (as well as the ones of all Hermite processes) is equal to H: the above proposition entails that the local Hölder exponent of X^α is not smaller than H, and Theorem 10 below will ensure that it is not greater than H. See also Lemma 5.9 of [25], which provides a similar (though slightly weaker) result for Hermite processes.

Proof:

Since $t \to X_t^{l,\alpha}$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ almost surely, we need only to deal with the high frequency component $X_t^{h,\alpha}$. Let $\omega \in \Omega^*$ and (s,t) be in $[0,1]$. There exists a positive integer j_0 such that:

$$2^{-j_0-1} < |t-s| \le 2^{-j_0}.$$

Write:

$$X_t^{h,\alpha} - X_s^{h,\alpha} = (I) + (II),$$

where

$$(I) = \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH} \left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{s}^* - \mathbf{k}) \right] I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)})$$

and

$$(II) = \sum_{j\ge j_0+1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH} \left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{s}^* - \mathbf{k}) \right] I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(\epsilon)}).$$

Let us consider the first sum. Using Lemma 2 and following the lines of the proof of Theorem 1 of [4], there exists a deterministic constant $b_{1,d} > 1$ such that:

$$|(I)| \le A_{1,d}(\omega)|t-s| \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{(1-H)j}(b_{1,d}+j)^{\frac{d}{2}} \le A'_{1,d}(\omega)|t-s| 2^{(j_0+1)(1-H)}(b_{1,d}+j_0)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Similarly, for the second sum, there exists a deterministic constant $b_{2,d} > 1$ such that:

$$|(II)| \le A_{2,d}(\omega) \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-jH}(b_{2,d}+j)^{\frac{d}{2}} \le A'_{2,d}(\omega) 2^{-j_0 H}(b_{2,d}+j_0)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Putting the results altogether and using the definition of j_0 yields the desired conclusion. □

Proposition 5 *There exists a strictly positive random variable B_d of finite moments of any order and a constant $c_d > 3$, such that:*

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega^* \quad \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|X_t^\alpha(\omega)|}{(1+|t|)^H (\log\log(c_d+|t|))^{\frac{d}{2}}} \le B_d(\omega).$$

Proof: The proof is quite similar to the previous one except that the behaviour at infinity of the process will be governed by the low frequency part. Let t be a real strictly greater than 1 and let j_1 denote the integer such that:

$$2^{j_1} \le t < 2^{j_1+1}.$$

Let $\omega \in \Omega^*$. We consider the following quantity that we split into three parts:

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH} \left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(2^j\mathbf{t}^* - \mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(-\mathbf{k}) \right] (\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||))^{\frac{d}{2}} = (I) + (II) + (III)$$

where,

$$(I)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(j_1+1)}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E}2^{-jH}\left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(2^j\mathbf{t}^*-\mathbf{k})-I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(-\mathbf{k})\right](\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||))^{\frac{d}{2}},$$

$$(II)=\sum_{j=-j_1}^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E}2^{-jH}\left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(2^j\mathbf{t}^*-\mathbf{k})-I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(-\mathbf{k})\right](\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||))^{\frac{d}{2}},$$

$$(III)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E}2^{-jH}\left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(2^j\mathbf{t}^*-\mathbf{k})-I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(-\mathbf{k})\right](\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||))^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Let us deal first with the high frequency part. We have:

$$|(III)|\leq h_3(t)+h_3(0)$$

where h_3 is the function defined by:

$$h_3(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E}2^{-jH}|I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(2^j\mathbf{t}^*-\mathbf{k})|(\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||))^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Reasoning as above, one gets:

$$h_3(t)\leq C_3\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}2^{-jH}\left(\log(e+j+||2^j\mathbf{t}^*||)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Using that:

$$\forall a,b>0\quad \log(e+a+b)\leq\log(e+a)+\log(e+b),$$

and, for $d>2$, by a convexity argument:

$$\forall a,b>0\quad (a+b)^{\frac{d}{2}}\leq c_d(a^{\frac{d}{2}}+b^{\frac{d}{2}}),$$

one gets:

$$h_3(t)\leq C_{3d}\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}2^{-jH}\left(\log(e+j)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}+C_{3d}\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}2^{-jH}\left(\log(e+2^j||\mathbf{t}^*||)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Finally, since $\log(e+2^j||\mathbf{t}^*||)\leq\log(e+2^j)+\log(e+||\mathbf{t}^*||)$:

$$h_3(t)\leq C'_{3d}\left(\log(e+||\mathbf{t}^*||)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Consider now the second term. One has:

$$|(II)|\leq h_2(t)+h_2(0),$$

where h_2 is the function defined by:

$$h_2(t)=\sum_{j=-j_1}^{-1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{\epsilon\in E}2^{-jH}|I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}^*-\mathbf{k})|\left(\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

It is clear that:

$$h_2(t)\leq C_2\sum_{j=1}^{j_1}2^{jH}\left(\log(e+j+2^{-j}||\mathbf{t}^*||)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Using the definition of j_1 and elementary computations, one obtains:

$$h_2(t) \leq C_{2,d}(1+|t|)^H \left(\log\log(c_d+|t|)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Similarly for $h_2(0)$, one has:

$$h_2(0) \leq C'_{2,d}(1+|t|)^H \left(\log\log(c_d+|t|)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}$$

For the last term, we apply the mean value theorem to the function f defined above to get:

$$|(I)| \leq C_{1,d} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(j_1+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{(1-H)j}|t| \frac{(\log(e+|j|+||\mathbf{k}||))^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(1+||\mathbf{k}||)^p} \leq C'_{1,d}|t|,$$

and the result follows. $\square$

Remark 6 *In order to study the local regularity of the process, we will only need the behaviour at infinity of the high frequency part.*

We now compute the uniform almost sure pointwise Hölder exponent of X^α. Since the paths of the process are nowhere differentiable, it is by definition equal to:

$$\gamma_{X^\alpha}(t) = \sup\{\gamma > 0 : \quad \limsup_{\rho\to 0} \frac{|X^\alpha_{t+\rho} - X^\alpha_t|}{|\rho|^\gamma} < +\infty\}.$$

Proposition 4 entails that, almost surely:

$$\forall t \in (0,1) \quad \gamma_{X^\alpha}(t) \geq H.$$

We will show the converse inequality. In that view, we introduce a stochastic field $\mathbf{X}^\alpha_\mathbf{t}$ that is closely related to X^α_t and defined as follows:

$$\forall\omega\in\Omega^*,\ \forall\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^d \quad \mathbf{X}^\alpha_\mathbf{t}(\omega) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\epsilon\in E} 2^{-jH} \left[I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{k}) - I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(-\mathbf{k})\right] I_d(\psi^\epsilon_{j,\mathbf{k}}).$$

It is easily checked that $\mathbf{X}^\alpha_\mathbf{t}$ is well defined and that its sample paths are continuous. Moreover,

$$a.s.,\ \forall\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ |\mathbf{X}^\alpha_\mathbf{t}| \leq \tilde{C}_d(\omega)\left(\log(e+||\mathbf{t}||)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Define the following operator on $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\forall\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d \quad D^{\alpha+1}(\psi)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<\mathbf{x};\xi>}||\xi||^{\alpha+1}\hat{\psi}(\xi)d\xi.$$

The Fourier transform of the dilated and translated version of this function is equal to:

$$\forall\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d \quad \mathcal{F}(D^{\alpha+1}(\psi)_{j,\mathbf{k}})(\xi) = 2^{-jd}e^{-i2^{-j}<\mathbf{k};\xi>}\mathcal{F}(D^{\alpha+1}(\psi))(2^{-j}\xi),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(D^{\alpha+1}(\psi))(\xi) = ||\xi||^{\alpha+1}\hat{\psi}(\xi)$.

Lemma 7 *Almost surely,*

$$\forall(j,\boldsymbol{k},\epsilon)\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}^d\times E \quad I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\boldsymbol{k}}) = 2^{j(H+d)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \boldsymbol{X}^\alpha_{\boldsymbol{t}} D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^\epsilon)(2^j\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{k})d\boldsymbol{t}.$$

Proof: For $\omega \in \Omega^*$ and $(j, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times E$, we compute the following quantity:

$$A := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{X}^{\alpha}_{t_1,...,t_d} D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j t_1 - k_1, ..., 2^j t_d - k_d) dt_1...dt_d.$$

Since the function $D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})$ is in $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the asymptotic growth properties of the stochastic field $\{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}_t\}$ allows one to invert the sum and the integral, so that:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'} 2^{-j'H} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon')})(2^{j'}\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k}') D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)})(2^j \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{t} \; I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon')}_{j',\mathbf{k}'}) \\ &=: \sum_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'} A_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'} \; I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon')}_{j',\mathbf{k}'}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the inner products $A_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'}$. By Fourier isometry,

$$A_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'} = 2^{-j'H} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2^{-j'd} e^{-i2^{-j'} <\mathbf{k}';\xi>} \mathcal{F}(I^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon')}))(2^{-j'}\xi) 2^{-jd} e^{+i2^{-j}<\mathbf{k};\xi>} \overline{\mathcal{F}(D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{(\epsilon)}))(2^{-j}\xi)} \, d\xi.$$

Using the inversion formula and the definition of the operator $D^{\alpha+1}$, one gets:

$$A_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'} = \frac{2^{-j(H+d)}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2^{-j\frac{d}{2}} 2^{-j'\frac{d}{2}} e^{-i2^{-j'}<\mathbf{k}';\xi>} e^{+i2^{-j}<\mathbf{k};\xi>} \widehat{\psi^{(\epsilon')}}(2^{-j'}\xi) \overline{\widehat{\psi^{(\epsilon)}}(2^{-j}\xi)} d\xi.$$

Since:

$$\widehat{\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}}(\xi) = 2^{-j\frac{d}{2}} e^{-i2^{-j}<\mathbf{k};\xi>} \widehat{\psi^{(\epsilon)}}(2^{-j}\xi),$$

one finally obtains,

$$A_{j',\mathbf{k}',\epsilon'} = 2^{-j(H+d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \psi^{(\epsilon')}_{j',\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{x}) dx.$$

But $\{\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{X}^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{t}} D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{\epsilon})(2^j\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{t} = 2^{-j(H+d)} I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}}). \quad \square$$

We will show that the pointwise Hölder exponent of the stochastic field $\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{t}}$ is everywhere equal to H almost surely. As a first step, we will exhibit an event of probability one such that, for any $\mathbf{t}_0 \in [0,1]^d$, there exists a random variable $I_d(\psi^{(\epsilon)}_{j,\mathbf{k}})$ which is both "large" enough and whose index is "close" to $\mathbf{t}_0$. In that view, we will use the following result from [6]:

Lemma 8 *[6, Theorem 8] There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for all polynomial $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most d, all $1 < q < \infty$, all log-concave probability measures μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q(\boldsymbol{x})|^{\frac{q}{d}} \mu(d\boldsymbol{x}) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \mu(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad |Q(\boldsymbol{x})| < \alpha\}) \leq cq\alpha^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Lemma 9 *Let $1 < \beta < 2$. There is an event Ω^{**} of probability one such that for all $\omega \in \Omega^{**}$, for all ϵ in E, for all $\boldsymbol{t}_0 \in [0,1]^d$, and for j large enough, there is a $\boldsymbol{k}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that:*

$$|\boldsymbol{t}_0 - 2^{-j}\boldsymbol{k}_j| \leq bj^{\beta}2^{-j}$$

where $b > 0$ is a constant independent of ω and

$$|I_d(\psi^{\epsilon}_{j,\boldsymbol{k}_j})| > \eta$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a constant independent of ω.

Proof: Let j be a positive integer. For simplicity, we assume $\epsilon = (1, ..., 1)$. The general case follows in a similar way. We consider the dyadic points of order j of $(0,1)^d$ *i.e.* $\{\mathbf{k}2^{-j} : \mathbf{k} \in \{1, ..., 2^j - 1\}^d\}$. Set $\Delta_j = \{1, ..., 2^j - 1\}^d$. Let us build a covering of Δ_j. In that view, define the following sets:

$$Q_j = \{0, ..., \lfloor \frac{2^j}{j^\beta} \rfloor + 1\}^d,$$

$$\forall \mathbf{q} \in Q_j,\ D_j^{\mathbf{q}} = \{\lceil j^\beta \rceil \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{r} : \mathbf{r} \in \{0, ..., \lceil j^\beta \rceil - 1\}^d\}.$$

Clearly:

$$\Delta_j \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{q} \in Q_j} D_j^{\mathbf{q}}.$$

Consider the following event:

$$A_j = \bigcup_{\mathbf{q} \in Q_j} \bigcap_{\mathbf{k} \in diag(D_j^q)} \{|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)| < \frac{1}{4.d^d c^d}\}.$$

Where $diag(D_j^q)$ denotes the diagonal of D_j^q. We wish to estimate its probability, and in particular its dependence on j. For this purpose, we first perform a study of the random variables $I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)$. Since $\epsilon = (1, ..., 1)$, one has:

$$\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon = \psi_{j,k_1} \otimes ... \otimes \psi_{j,k_d}$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon = \psi_{j,k_1} \tilde{\otimes} ... \tilde{\otimes} \psi_{j,k_d}.$$

Let us reorder the previous symmetric tensor product in the following way:

$$\tilde{\psi}_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon = \psi_{j,k_1}^{\tilde{\otimes}\gamma_1} \tilde{\otimes} ... \tilde{\otimes} \psi_{j,k_l}^{\tilde{\otimes}\gamma_l},$$

where $l \in 1, ..., d$, $\gamma_1 + ... + \gamma_l = d$ and, for all p, m in $\{1, ..., l\}$, $k_p \neq k_m$. Using the formula expressing Wiener-Itô integrals in terms of Hermite polynomials, one obtains:

$$I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon) = \prod_{p=1}^{l} H_{\gamma_p}(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{j,k_p}(u) dB_u).$$

The standard normal random variables $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{j,k_p}(u) dB_u$ are independent. As a consequence, each $I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d evaluated at independent standard normal random variables. Moreover, for each $k, k' \in diag(D_j^q)$, $I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)$ and $I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}'}^\epsilon)$ are mutually independent for $k \neq k'$. Therefore:

$$\mathbb{P}(A_j) \leq \sum_{q \in Q_j} \prod_{\mathbf{k} \in diag(D_j^q)} \mathbb{P}(\{|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)| < \frac{1}{4.d^d c^d}\})$$

Lemma 8 with $q = 2d$ yields

$$\mathbb{P}(\{|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)| < \frac{1}{4.d^d c^d}\}) \leq (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{d}} (\sqrt{d!} ||\tilde{\psi}_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon||_2)^{-\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Using the trivial fact:

$$\sqrt{d!} ||\tilde{\psi}_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon||_2 \geq 1,$$

one obtains the estimate

$$\mathbb{P}(\{|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\epsilon)| < \frac{1}{4.d^d c^d}\}) \leq (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

which entails that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_j) \leq (\lfloor \frac{2^j}{j^\beta} \rfloor + 2)^d (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{\lceil j^\beta \rceil}{d}}.$$

Since $\beta > 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_j) < \infty$. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, the following event has probability one:

$$\Omega^{**} = \bigcup_{J=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=J}^{\infty} A_j^c,$$

where A_j^c denotes the complementary of the event A_j. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{**}$ and $\mathbf{t}_0 \in [0,1]^d$. There exists $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j \in \Delta_j$ such that $|\mathbf{t}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j 2^{-j}| \leq \sqrt{d} 2^{-j}$. Moreover, since $\bigcup_{q \in Q_j} D_j^q$ is a covering of Δ_j there exists $\tilde{q}_j$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j \in D_j^{\tilde{q}_j}$. But for j large enough, there also exists $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_j \in D_j^{\tilde{q}_j}$ such that $|I_d(\psi_{j,\hat{\mathbf{k}}_j})| > \dfrac{1}{4.d^d c^d}$. Write:

$$|\mathbf{t}_0 - 2^{-j}\hat{\mathbf{k}}_j| \leq |\mathbf{t}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j 2^{-j}| + 2^{-j}|\hat{\mathbf{k}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j| \leq b j^{\beta} 2^{-j},$$

which yields the desired conclusion. □

We are now ready to prove that the pointwise Hölder exponent of the stohastic field $\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}$ is equal to H almost surely:

Theorem 10 *Almost surely,*

$$\forall \boldsymbol{t} \in (0,1)^d \quad \gamma_{\boldsymbol{X}^{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{t}) = H.$$

Proof: Assume that there exists $\omega_0 \in \Omega^{**}$, $\mathbf{t}_0 \in (0,1)^d$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}}(\mathbf{t}_0)(\omega_0) \geq H + \epsilon_0.$$

By definition of the pointwise Hölder exponent, for any $\mathbf{t}$ such that $||\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_0||_2$ is sufficiently small, the following inequality holds:

$$|\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha}(\omega_0) - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}_0}^{\alpha}(\omega_0)| \leq C(\omega_0) ||\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_0||_2^{H+\epsilon_0}, \tag{2}$$

where $C(\omega_0) > 0$ is a finite constant. By continuity and growth at infinity of $\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}$, this inequality holds for any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For any $(j, \mathbf{k}, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times E$,

$$|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{\epsilon})(\omega_0)| = 2^{j(H+d)} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha} D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{\epsilon})(2^j \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{t} \right|.$$

Using the fact that $D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{\epsilon}) \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and inequality (2), one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{\epsilon})(\omega_0)| &\leq 2^{j(H+d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}_0}^{\alpha}| |D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{\epsilon})(2^j \mathbf{t} - k)| d\mathbf{t} \\ &\leq C(\omega_0) 2^{j(H+d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_0||_2^{H+\epsilon_0} |D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{\epsilon})(2^j \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k})| d\mathbf{t}. \end{aligned}$$

The rapid decrease of $D^{\alpha+1}(\psi^{\epsilon})$ and the the change of variable $\mathbf{x} = 2^j \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k}$ yield

$$|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{\epsilon})(\omega_0)| \leq C'(\omega_0) 2^{jH} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||2^{-j}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{k}2^{-j} - \mathbf{t}_0||_2^{H+\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{(1 + ||\mathbf{x}||_2)^p} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Since $(|t| + |s|)^{H+\epsilon_0} \leq C''(|t|^{H+\epsilon_0} + |s|^{H+\epsilon_0})$, one obtains

$$|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{\epsilon})(\omega_0)| \leq C'''(\omega_0) 2^{-j\epsilon_0} (1 + ||\mathbf{k} - 2^j \mathbf{t}_0||_2^{H+\epsilon_0}).$$

This inequality is true for any j and $\mathbf{k}$. Applying it with the $\mathbf{k}_j$ of Lemma 9 yields

$$|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{\epsilon})(\omega_0)| \leq C'''(\omega_0) 2^{-j\epsilon_0} (1 + (bj^{\beta})^{H+\epsilon_0}).$$

This right hand side in the above inequality tends to 0 as j tends to $+\infty$. But, by Lemma 9, $|I_d(\psi_{j,\mathbf{k}_j}^{\epsilon})(\omega_0)| > \eta$. Then, ad absurdum,

$$a.s, \ \forall \mathbf{t} \in (0,1)^d, \ \gamma_{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}}(\mathbf{t}) = H. \quad \square$$

Corollary 11 *Almost surely,*

$$\forall t \in (0,1),\ \gamma_{X^\alpha}(t) = H.$$

This result should be compared with Proposition 2.2 p.163 and Remark 2.1 p.164 of [26], which entail that, for all t, almost surely, the pointwise Hölder exponent of X^α (as well as the ones of all Hermite processes) are equal to H.

5 Hausdorff Dimensions of SSSI processes represented by multiple Wiener-Itô integrals

In this section, we provide estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of the range and the graph of multidimensional processes represented by multiple Wiener-Itô integrals of order d whose kernel verify conditions $(1)-(3)$ with self-similar exponent H. More precisely, let $d \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ be two integers. Let $\{Y_t^H\}$ be the process defined by:

$$Y_t^H = \gamma(H,d) I_d(h_t^H)$$

where $\gamma(H,d)$ is a normalizing positive constant such that $\mathbb{E}[|Y_1^H|^2] = 1$.
Let $\frac{1}{2} < H_1 \leq ... \leq H_N < 1$. Let $\{\mathbb{Y}_t^H\}$ be the multidimensional process defined by:

$$\{\mathbb{Y}_t^H\} = \{(Y_t^{H_1}, ..., Y_t^{H_N}) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

where the coordinates are independent copies of the process Y_t^H.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+$. It turns out that the methods developed in [31] apply with few modifications for estimating the Hausdorff dimension of the range $R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) := \{\mathbb{Y}^H(t),\ t \in E\}$ and the graph $Gr_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) := \{(t, \mathbb{Y}^H(t)),\ t \in E\}$ of $\mathbb{Y}^H$ over E. We shall make use of the following lemma:

Lemma 12 *There exists a stricly positive constant, C, depending only on d, such that:*

$$\forall j \in \{1, ..., N\} \quad \mathbb{P}(|Y_1^{H_j}| \leq x) \leq C x^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Proof: We shall use Lemma 8 in order to prove this inequality. For all $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$, $\gamma(H_j, d) h_1^{H_j} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\{f_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes f_{i_d} : (i_1, ..., i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, one can approximate $\gamma(H_j, d) h_1^{H_j}$ by:

$$h_1^{H_j,n} = \sum_{i_1,...,i_d=1}^{n} < \gamma(H_j, d) h_1^{H_j}; f_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes f_{i_d} > f_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes f_{i_d}.$$

By linearity of the multiple Wiener-Itô integral,

$$I_d(h_1^{H_j,n}) = \sum_{i_1,...,i_d=1}^{n} < \gamma(H_j, d) h_1^{H_j}; f_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes f_{i_d} > I_d(f_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes f_{i_d}).$$

The Wiener-Itô theorem entails that $I_d(f_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes f_{i_d})$ is a polynomial of degree at most d evaluated at independent standard normal random variables. Therefore,

$$I_d(h_1^{H_j,n}) = P_{n,d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(u) dB_j(u), ..., \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(u) dB_j(u)\right)$$

where $P_{n,d}$ is a polynomial of degree at most d. Applying Lemma 8 to this quantity with $q = 2d$ and μ the Gaussian probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ yields that there exists a constant $C(d) > 0$ such that:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x > 0 \quad \mathbb{P}(|I_d(h_1^{H_j,n})| \leq x) \leq C(d) x^{\frac{1}{d}} ||h_1^{H_j,n}||_2^{-\frac{1}{d}}.$$

By the continuity of the multiple Wiener-Itô integral, $I_d(h_1^{H_j,n}) \to I_d(\gamma(H_j,d)h_1^{\alpha_j})$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Consider a subsequence such that $I_d(h_1^{H_j,n})$ converges almost surely to $I_d(\gamma(H_j,d)h_1^{H_j})$. Using Fatou's lemma along this subsequence, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(I_d(h_1^{H_j}) \leq x) &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{P}(|I_d(h_1^{H_j,n})| \leq x) \\
&\leq C(d)x^{\frac{1}{d}} \liminf_{n\to+\infty} ||h_1^{H_j,n}||_2^{-\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\leq C(d)x^{\frac{1}{d}} ||\gamma(H_j,d)h_1^{H_j}||_2^{-\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\leq C(d)x^{\frac{1}{d}} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{d!}})^{-\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\leq K(d)x^{\frac{1}{d}}
\end{aligned}$$

since, we have $\mathbb{E}[|Y_1^{H_j}|^2] = \gamma(H_j,d)^2 d! ||h_1^{H_j}||_2^2 = 1$. □

We are now ready to prove the following theorem which is similar to Theorem 3.3 of [31].

Theorem 13 *Almost surely,*

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \geq \min\left(N; \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k (H_k - H_j)}{d}}{H_k}, k=1,...,N\right),$$

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} Gr_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \geq \min\left(\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k (H_k - H_j)}{d}}{H_k}, k=1,...,N, dim_{\mathcal{H}}E + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1-H_i)}{d}\right).$$

Proof: Assume that $dim_{\mathcal{H}}E > 0$ (otherwise there is nothing to prove) and let k be such that:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} H_i}{d} < dim_{\mathcal{H}}E \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} H_i}{d}$$

Let us compute the quantity:

$$\lambda = \min\left(N; \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j (H_j - H_i)}{d}}{H_j}, j=1,...,N\right).$$

Let $j < k$. Let:

$$\Delta := \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (H_k - H_i)}{d}}{H_k} - \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j (H_j - H_i)}{d}}{H_j}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \frac{1}{H_j H_k}\left[dim_{\mathcal{H}}E(H_j - H_k) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (H_k - H_i)H_j}{d} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j (H_j - H_i)H_k}{d}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{H_j H_k}\left[dim_{\mathcal{H}}E(H_j - H_k) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j (H_k - H_j)H_i}{d} + \frac{\sum_{i=j+1}^k (H_k - H_i)H_j}{d}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since:

$$\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} (H_k - H_i)H_j = \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (H_k - H_i)H_j \leq \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (H_k - H_j)H_i,$$

one gets:

$$\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(H_k-H_i)}{d}}{H_k}-\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j}(H_j-H_i)}{d}}{H_j}\leq\frac{H_k-H_j}{H_jH_k}\left[-dim_{\mathcal{H}}E+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}H_i}{d}\right]$$

which is strictly less than 0 by definition of k. One proves in a similar way that this inequality also holds for $j>k$. Thus,

$$\lambda=\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}}E+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(H_k-H_i)}{d}}{H_k}.$$

From this one deduces that $\frac{k-1}{d}<\lambda\leq\frac{k}{d}$.

We shall use a classical potential theoretic method [11] to obtain a lower bound on the Hausdorff dimension. More precisely, in the case of the range of $\{\mathbb{Y}_t^H\}$, we study the convergence of the following quantity:

$$\int_{R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H)\times R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H)}\frac{d\mu_{\mathbb{Y}^H}(x)d\mu_{\mathbb{Y}^H}(y)}{|x-y|^\gamma}$$

where γ is a strictly positive constant and $\mu_{\mathbb{Y}^H}$ is the image by $\mathbb{Y}^H$ of a positive measure, μ, on E, both of them suitably chosen. By a change of variable, the previous quantity is equal to:

$$\int_{E\times E}\frac{d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{|\mathbb{Y}_t^H-\mathbb{Y}_s^H|^\gamma}.$$

By Fubini's theorem, this reduces to the convergence of the following integral:

$$\int_{E\times E}\mathbb{E}\left[|\mathbb{Y}_t^H-\mathbb{Y}_s^H|^{-\gamma}\right]d\mu(t)d\mu(s).$$

Fix $\gamma\in(\frac{k-1}{d},\lambda)$ and set

$$F(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{P}[|Y_1^{H_j}|\leq x_j].$$

One computes:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{Y}_t^H-\mathbb{Y}_s^H|^{-\gamma}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N}[(x_1|t-s|^{H_1})^2+...+(x_N|t-s|^{H_N})^2]^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}dF(x)\\ &= |t-s|^{-H_1\gamma}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d-1}}dF_2(x_2)...dF_N(x_N)\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}[x_1^2+...+(x_N|t-s|^{H_N-H_1})^2]^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}dF_1(x_1).\end{aligned}$$

For all $a>0$ and all $\beta>0$:

$$J:=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}[x_1^2+a^2]^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}dF_1(x_1)=\beta\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}x_1F_1(x_1)[x_1^2+a^2]^{-\frac{\beta}{2}-1}dx_1.$$

When $\beta>\frac{1}{d}$, Lemma 12 yields:

$$\begin{aligned}J &\leq \beta C(d)\int_0^{+\infty}[x_1^2+a^2]^{-\frac{\beta}{2}-1}x_1^{1+\frac{1}{d}}dx_1\\ &\leq C(d)\left[a^{-\beta-2}\int_0^a x_1^{1+\frac{1}{d}}dx_1+\int_a^{+\infty}x_1^{-\beta-1+\frac{1}{d}}dx_1\right]\\ &\leq C'(\beta,d)a^{-\beta+\frac{1}{d}}.\end{aligned}$$

When $\beta < \frac{1}{d}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x_1 F_1(x_1)[x_1^2 + a^2]^{-\frac{\beta}{2}-1} dx_1 \leq \int_0^1 x_1^{-\beta-1+\frac{1}{d}} dx_1 + \int_1^{+\infty} x_1^{-\beta-1} dx_1$$

and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} [x_1^2 + a^2]^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} dF_1(x_1) \leq C''(\beta, d).$$

Since $\gamma \in (\frac{k-1}{d}, \lambda)$ we see that, upon integrating iteratively with respect to $dF_1(x_1), dF_2(x_2), ..., dF_k(x_k)$, the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} [(x_1|t-s|^{H_1})^2 + ... + (x_N|t-s|^{H_N})^2]^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} dF(x)$$

is not larger than a constant times:

$$|t-s|^{-H_1\gamma-(H_2-H_1)(\gamma-\frac{1}{d})-...-(H_k-H_{k-1})(\gamma-\frac{k-1}{d})} = |t-s|^{-H_k\gamma+\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{H_k-H_j}{d}}.$$

By Frostman's Lemma, the condition $H_k\gamma - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{H_k-H_j}{d} < dim_{\mathcal{H}} E$ entails that there exists a positive measure μ on E such that

$$\int_{E\times E} \frac{\mu(ds)\mu(dt)}{|t-s|^{H_k\gamma-\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{H_k-H_j}{d}}} < +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{E\times E} \frac{\mu(ds)\mu(dt)}{|\mathbb{Y}_t^H - \mathbb{Y}_s^H|^\gamma}\right] < +\infty$$

i.e.

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \geq \gamma \quad a.s.$$

for all $\gamma \in (\frac{k-1}{d}, \lambda)$.

The proof of the lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of $\mathbb{Y}_t^H$ over E follows from the one of Theorem 3.3 in [31] with the same modifications as above. We omit the details. □

Remarks:

- The proof relies essentially on Lemma 12. The lower bound holds for multidimensional Hermite processes of any order d as well as for multidimensional versions of X^α.
- By studying further the law of Y_1^H, one would obtain a better lower estimate. In particular, it is well-known that the density of the Rosenblatt distribution is continuous and bounded ([1], [7]). As a consequence, $\mathbb{P}(|Y_1^{H_j}| \leq x) \leq K(d)x$, which yields the following lower bound:

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \geq \min\left(N; \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{j=1}^k (H_k - H_j)}{H_k}, k = 1, ..., N\right) \quad a.s.$$

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} Gr_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \geq \min\left(\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{j=1}^k (H_k - H_j)d}{H_k}, k = 1, ..., N, dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - H_i)\right) \quad a.s.$$

One thus retrieves here the result of Theorem 2.3 of [31].

Upper bounds for the Hausdorff dimensions of the range and the graph are obtained by a direct application of Theorem 3.1 in [31], since we deal with elements of Wiener's chaoses, which thus have finite moments of all order:

Theorem 14 *[31, Thoerem 3.1] Almost surely,*

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \leq \min\left(N; \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(H_k - H_j)}{H_k}, k = 1, ..., N\right),$$

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} Gr_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) \leq \min\left(\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(H_k - H_j)}{H_k}, k = 1, ..., N; dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{i=1}^{N}(1 - H_i)\right).$$

Remarks:

- For the N-dimensional anisotropic Rosenblatt process, we retrieve the known results:

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} R_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) = \min\left(N; \frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(H_k - H_j)}{H_k}, k = 1, ..., N\right) \quad a.s.,$$

$$dim_{\mathcal{H}} Gr_E(\mathbb{Y}^H) = \min\left(\frac{dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(H_k - H_j)}{H_k}, k = 1, ..., N; dim_{\mathcal{H}} E + \sum_{i=1}^{N}(1 - H_i)\right) \quad a.s.$$

- In the isotropic case, the first equality was proven in [25].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his supervisor Jacques Lévy-Véhel for his useful comments and for stimulating discussions which have helped to greatly improve this paper.

References

[1] J. M. P. Albin. A note on Rosenblatt distributions. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 40(1):83–91, 1998.

[2] Antoine Ayache, François Roueff, and Yimin Xiao. Linear fractional stable sheets: wavelet expansion and sample path properties. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 119(4):1168–1197, 2009.

[3] Antoine Ayache and Murad S. Taqqu. Rate optimality of wavelet series approximations of fractional Brownian motion. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 9(5):451–471, 2003.

[4] Antoine Ayache and Yimin Xiao. Asymptotic properties and Hausdorff dimensions of fractional Brownian sheets. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 11(4):407–439, 2005.

[5] Jean-Marc Bardet and Ciprian Tudor. A wavelet analysis of the Rosenblatt process: chaos expansion and estimation of the self-similarity parameter. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 120(12):2331–2362, 2010.

[6] Anthony Carbery and James Wright. Distributional and L^q norm inequalities for polynomials over convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{\Bbbk}$. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 8(3):233–248, 2001.

[7] Yu. A. Davydov. Distributions of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 35(1):51–62, 1990.

[8] R. L. Dobrushin and P. Major. Non-central limit theorems for nonlinear functionals of Gaussian fields. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 50(1):27–52, 1979.

[9] W. Ehm. Sample function properties of multiparameter stable processes. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 56(2):195–228, 1981.

[10] Paul Embrechts and Makoto Maejima. *Selfsimilar processes.* Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.

[11] Kenneth Falconer. *Fractal geometry.* John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, second edition, 2003. Mathematical foundations and applications.

[12] Kenneth Falconer. The local structure of random processes. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 67(2):657–672, 2003.

[13] Svante Janson. *Gaussian Hilbert spaces*, volume 129 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[14] Andrei Kolmogorov. Wienersche spiralen und einige andere interessante kurven in hilbertchen raume. *Doklady*, 26(26):115–118, 1940.

[15] John Lamperti. Semi-stable stochastic processes. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 104:62–78, 1962.

[16] Benoit B. Mandelbrot and John W. Van Ness. Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. *SIAM Rev.*, 10:422–437, 1968.

[17] Yves Meyer. *Wavelets and operators*, volume 37 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. Translated from the 1990 French original by D. H. Salinger.

[18] Yves Meyer, Fabrice Sellan, and Murad S. Taqqu. Wavelets, generalized white noise and fractional integration: the synthesis of fractional Brownian motion. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 5(5):465–494, 1999.

[19] Toshio Mori and Hiroshi Oodaira. The law of the iterated logarithm for self-similar processes represented by multiple Wiener integrals. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 71(3):367–391, 1986.

[20] David Nualart. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics.* Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2006.

[21] Steven Orey and William E. Pruitt. Sample functions of the N-parameter Wiener process. *Ann. Probability*, 1(1):138–163, 1973.

[22] Vladas Pipiras. Wavelet-type expansion of the Rosenblatt process. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 10(6):599–634, 2004.

[23] Stefan G. Samko, Anatoly A. Kilbas, and Oleg I. Marichev. *Fractional integrals and derivatives.* Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. Theory and applications, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikolʹskiĭ, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Revised by the authors.

[24] Gennady Samorodnitsky and Murad Taqqu. *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes.* Chapman and Hall, 1994.

[25] Narn-Rueih Shieh and Yimin Xiao. Hausdorff and packing dimensions of the images of random fields. *Bernoulli*, 16(4):926–952, 2010.

[26] Keizo Takashima. Sample path properties of ergodic self-similar processes. *Osaka J. Math.*, 26:159–189, 1989.

[27] Michel Talagrand. Hausdorff measure of trajectories of multiparameter fractional Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.*, 23(2):767–775, 1995.

[28] Murad S. Taqqu. Convergence of integrated processes of arbitrary Hermite rank. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 50(1):53–83, 1979.

[29] Ciprian Tudor. Analysis of the Rosenblatt process. *ESAIM PS*, 12:230–257, 2008.

[30] Mark Veillette and Murad Taqqu. Properties and numerical evaluation of the Rosenblatt distribution. *Preprint.*

[31] Yimin Xiao and Huonan Lin. Dimension properties of sample paths of self-similar processes. *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)*, 10(3):289–300, 1994. A Chinese summary appears in Acta Math. Sinica **3**8 (1995), no. 4, 576.